



Romani CRISS

Roma Center for Social Intervention and Studies

HDIM.NGO/282/06

9 October 2006

19 Buzesti Street, Sector 1

Bucharest – Romania

Tel: 004 021 / 231 41 44

Fax: 004 021/ 310 70 70

E-mail: office@romanicriss.org

www.romanicriss.org

Bucharest, August 2006

**OVERVIEW OF THE ROMA
SITUATION IN ROMANIA**

ANNEX 1: CASES: EVICTION, EXCLUSION OR MARGINALIZATION OF ROMA IN REGARD TO HOUSING RIGHTS IN ROMANIA

1. Bucharest – Cupsani Chitila Triaj Case

On 24.01.2006, City Hall 1st District Bucharest demolished 7 houses that belonged to Roma people, in Chitila Triaj neighborhood, at Mihalache Raicu, Cupsani and soseaua Chitila Triaj Streets. Three Roma persons have been physically abused and two of them have been taken to the hospital.

In 2003, Mr. I.N. received from his friend M.F., as donation, the land situated in Mihalache Raicu Street, with an area of 100 square meters. In the fall of the same year he built a house with an area of 20 sm. *“In 2004, Mr. I.N. deceased, thus, in 2005 I succeeded to finish the construction, without placing the windows and doors. In November 2005, I was invited at the Community Police precinct – 1st District where pictures have been shown to me, including pictures of my house. Please note I received a fine in amount of 750 000 Rol, approximately 20 Euros because I live there without legal documents and they told me to get all my good stuff out of the house because they would to demolish it”*¹.

According to the declaration of witness G.M., *“On 24.01.2006, around 7.30, I saw 5 or 6 Community Police cars, a bus filled with gendarmes, 2 Gendarmerie vans, two ambulances and 6 bulldozers. I went home and told my husband, D.I, that they started to demolish houses in the neighborhood. When I got near my daughter’s house, the Gendarmes and the Community Police forbade us to go farther and we stayed put and watched how they demolished our houses. My daughter (D.M) started to talk with the people who demolished the houses, by the officers with the Community Police told bad words to her and “advised” her to stay in the gutter with her children”*²

M.M. claims that *“On 24.01.2006, around 14.00, I got to Mihalache Raicu Street and saw demolished houses, including mine. Gendarmes, Community Police wearing guns and masks, TV reporters, Romani CRISS representatives and neighbors were present. At that point, I lost consciousness and an ambulance took me away. In the house I had construction materials and other goods that the Police picked up without me signing any process verbal...”*³

The 1st District City Hall Bucharest considers that *“On 24 January 2006, we put into force the 1st District Mayor’s Decision no. 298, 299 and 300 of 18.01.2006, respectively we demolished constructions built illegally on public domain and brought the land to the initial status. Please note that Roma families used to live in these houses, families that reside legally in Teleorman County. From our information, the Roma here use to steal scrap iron and various other goods from the train cars passing through the area. A part of the suspects have been taken by the Railway Police, that have over 1200 unsolved dossiers as result of the stealing from the train cars and the damage of the National railway Company is in amount of 150 billion Rol.”*⁴

On January 23rd 2006, Romani CRISS had sent an official letter to the City Hall of District 1 Bucharest, in which it was requested a point of view regarding this case and, in the same time, the

¹ According to the declaration of Mrs. M.M.

² Quote from the declaration of witness G.M.

³ According to the declaration of Mrs. M.M.

⁴ According to the Press release of Bucharest 1st District, press release no. 1155 of 24.01.2006.

representative of this institution was invited to dialog. In the same time, the invitation was communicated to The General City Hall of Bucharest and Bucharest Prefecture.

In January 24th 2006, a forced eviction and demolition of seven houses belonging to a group of Roma living in Chitila Triaj, Drumul Garii Street was brought to attention of Romani CRISS organization, Around 10.30 a.m. a team of Romani CRISS went to the scene of the events in order to monitor and document this case. The access road to the community was blocked by a car, belonging to Communitarian Police, having the registration plate B 52 PCY, as well as representatives of the Community Police District 1. The Romani CRISS team identified themselves, but the authorities' representatives refused their access motivating by: *“these are our orders and all we do is to obey the order of our superiors”*.

In this case, Romani CRISS interventions is structured in two main directions – actions before criminal investigation bodies, and court of laws respectively to report the case to relevant national and international bodies.

2. Bucharest – case Tarifului

On January 13th, 2005, at 20.00, a group of Roma persons informed Romani CRISS that City Hall Sector 1 – Bucharest intends to demolish Roma's houses from Giulesti neighborhood, Tarifului Street in the next day.

While documenting of the case, the members of Romani CRISS were told that, in the morning of the same day, at 7 o'clock in the morning, approximately 70 persons were “taken out of their homes” to the Precinct no. 4, where they were fined, having to pay fines from 750,000 to 1,000,000 Rol for living without legal forms. Around 2 p.m., all persons were free to go back home.

The representatives of the City hall, the Police and the gendarmerie came to the scene to demolish some houses, but they didn't and postponed the action for the second day and handed non-nominally summonses.

On the morning of January 14th, a delegation of Romani CRISS went to the place and talked with Roma from the neighborhood about de jure and de facto situation of the houses. Around 8.30, two members of the delegation went to the City Hall of Sector 1 to discuss with the representatives of the local authorities, in order to identify the best solution. Romani CRISS representatives invoked the lack of any legal framework of the tenure secured by the Romanian Constitution.

Despite the legal arguments invoked by Romani CRISS, the City Hall representatives continued to execute the demolition of the houses. Fortunately for the Roma from Tarifului Street, the action was subsequently suspended following a series of intervention of some governmental and Romanian Parliament's representatives.

For the second time in the same day, Romani CRISS had a meeting with the City Hall representatives, this time the discussions were in the favor of the Roma community. Subsequently, the authorities issued identity cards for Roma in Tarifului Street. At present, the process of fully legalization of Roma's house property is in progress.

3. Constanta – Case PHARE project

In January 2005, Romani CRISS was informed⁵ that the City hall Constanta was accepted to implement a project aiming at building 20 houses for Roma. But, the land where these houses are to be built is situated in the industrial area of the house. In that area, there are only factories and the land is practically situated in the middle of a field. There is only one public transportation means that brings and takes the workers to the factories and one has to walk a considerable distance to access the transportation mean. There is no school, kindergarten, dispensary or parks nearby.

Given all these, Romani CRISS sent an official letter to City Hall Constanta asking for information, as per Law 544/2001. The City Hall made the information available for Romani CRISS. We believe that placing the houses in the industrial area constitutes segregation and environmental racism, going against the domestic and international legislation, as well as against the financing policy of the European Commission.

4. Constanta – Case Lapusneanu

Seven families have been evicted from a house situated in Lapusneanu Street (Constanta) and some of their goods have been damaged. Two of these families were Roma and the rest Romanians. All these families lived as tenants, with contracts signed with the owner of the building S.C. V.M.B. Lux Sonor S.R.L. We consider the eviction to be illegally, as it took place prior to a decree absolute. In addition, there was a trial regarding the eviction in the case of the five Romanian families (as the eviction was illegal, because it took place prior to a decree absolute), but no legal procedure for the two Roma families.

5. Botosani – Dorohoi Case

In centre of Dorohoi, approximately 14-15 Roma families live in houses that are to be demolished immediately. The City Hall Dorohoi built 35 social houses for Roma who live in the buildings from the old centre of the city. These new houses are being built on Drochia Street, in the industrial area. The living conditions are very good. The houses have 3-4 rooms, kitchen and bathroom, they are connected to the running water system and heating system.

Roma agree to move from the Centrul Vechi to the social houses in Drochia Street, as they have no alternatives. Still, they are afraid because they found out, unofficially, that the local authorities wish to move all the Roma from the city in the industrial area, thus isolating them.

On April 18th, 2005, a delegation of Romani CRISS⁶ met with Mr. Sergiu Lung – Mayor of Dorohoi. He said the houses were built through a project and he wouldn't want to tolerate any destruction of the new-built social houses. In case such damages exist, "*the persons responsible shall be put in a truck and taken out of the city*"⁷.

⁵ The information and documenting of the case was conducted by Mr. Nicu Ion – human rights local monitor contracted by Romani CRISS for Constanta County.

⁶ The information was presented by Mr. Rudai Vasile, human rights local monitor contracted by Romani CRISS for Botosani County. Subsequently, a delegation of Romani CRISS carried out a documenting visit.

⁷ Quote of affirmations of Mayor from Dorohoi, during this discussions with members of Romani CRISS members, on 18 April 2005.

The mayor mentioned that they decided to place the houses in the industrial area because the connection to utilities in this neighborhood is much cheaper; the sewerage and heating system are near. And, in what regards the placement near the purification station, the Mayor mentioned he would build a concrete fence and a hedge to prevent the air currents to get near the houses.

The current urban planning of the city Dorohoi made by the local authority led to the forming of a new neighborhood where most of the inhabitants are Roma. This may turn into residential segregation and, on long term, might affect negatively the life of local community unless this new neighborhood is not included in the urban planning, thus it wouldn't be an isolated element, but part of the city.

6. Harghita – Miercurea Ciuc Case

In May 2004, 140 Roma persons have been evicted from a building located in the center of Miercurea Ciuc locality (27, Nagy Imre Painter St.). They have been settled by the City hall at the outskirts of the town, in the very neighborhood of the filtering station, in very precarious living conditions. At present, at the former address (27, Nagy Imre Street), there is no building anymore, just barren.

On 6 June 2005, Mr. Robert Vaszi Janos – human rights local monitor contracted by Romani CRISS for Salaj county informed about a possible case of eviction: in May 2004, about 140 Roma persons (according to the news broadcasted by TVR Cluj) have been evicted from a building from the Miercurea Ciuc locality (27, Nagy Imre Painter St.) to the outskirts of the town, next to the water filtering station. The building has been later demolished due to high degradation.

An informal discussion revealed that the National Council for Combating Discrimination (NCCD) had been informed about a case through a complaint lodged by the people in question, with the help of the human rights local monitor contracted by Liga Pro-Europa.

Thus, on 20-21 July, Romani CRISS⁸, in partnership with the NCCD⁹ documented the case.

In the new location (Primaverii St.), there were 12 Roma families (about 40-50 persons) that lived in 8 barracks on an 800 s.m. field that had a common fence with the water filtering station. On the fences of the water filtering station there were tags warning with regard to the toxic danger. The living conditions were bad and the toxic danger was acute. There were another seven houses made of wood. The dwellings were connected to the electricity and water system of the filtering station. They heat the barracks provided by the City Hall with stoves on solid fuel. At the time of the visit, the land was so rocky that the rain water accumulated had blocked the access to one of the wooden barracks.

On 20 July 2005, during the discussions¹⁰ with the Roma, they showed their dissatisfaction with the decision of the local authorities to move them near the filtering station. They told it was very difficult to live there on winter time due to the poor living conditions and the fact they didn't have firewood, and had to use other materials for heating (carton boards, nylon, etc). Roma said they didn't understand why the City Hall representatives were so displeased with the fact they built wooden houses, especially that the number of barracks provided by the Mayoralty was too small for the number of inhabitants.

⁸ Romani CRISS was represented by Mr. Marian Mandache – program coordinator.

⁹ The NCCD delegation was formed of Mr. Adrian Camarasan – member of the Director College and Mr. Bogdan Bitu – legal adviser.

¹⁰ Discussion held by representatives of Romani CRISS and NCCD with some of the Roma living on the field in Primaverii Street.

The persons still have ID cards on the old address. The Roma have heavily accused the living conditions stating that they are a danger to the general status of health and that they have caused the death of 2 infants, because of the toxic air. Moreover, the Roma said that the mayor of the locality declared he didn't care of the Roma's health and that they had too many children anyway. They also contested having to live isolated.

The sanitation utility and medical assistance in case of emergency are accessible to Roma. They will not continue to live in that area because of the risks caused by the filtering station.

On July 21st 2005, representatives of Romani CRISS and the National Council for Combating Discrimination met with Mr. Szoke Domokos – deputy mayor in Miercurea Ciuc and then with Mrs. Zita Simon – Social Work Direction within the City Hall.

The vice-mayor said he saw no perspective for Roma in Primaveraii Street and he couldn't make discrimination on ethnic grounds. Actually, in his opinion, it couldn't be discrimination in this case, unless we talked about positive discrimination in case of Roma moved from Nagy Imre St. to Primaveraii, near the filtering station, as they were provided for free a land owned by the State and paid for the connection to the electricity and running water; the local authorities did no similar thing in case of other ethnic minorities. He stated that Roma were not forced to be moved, they were just proposed to do this and they didn't have to continue living in that area, they might as well leave.

In what regards the ID cards with the old address, the vice-mayor declared that Roma should probably be fined for this, but they wouldn't, given the Roma's social condition. He forgot to mention that the land in Primaveraii Street where Roma lived was not registered with a number yet, thus it couldn't be registered as a domicile.

Despite that fact that the Commission in the City Hall proposed 4 options in regard to the place to move the Roma, the deputy mayor said he didn't know what the other three locations were and that the Commission probably took into account the opposition of non-Roma inhabitants to have Roma neighbors. He said that other lands were available also, in the centre of the city, but they couldn't be allocated to the Roma, because it's a matter of culture, as one of the Roma rules was not to care about other people.

Mr. Szoke Domokos also said that the City Hall couldn't continue investing money in Roma houses, although they received some funds for Roma from the Government.

The NCCD has given the Mayoralty a fine of 4,000 RON (about 1100 EURO), the maximum according to the antidiscrimination legislation.

The action of the Miercurea Ciuc Mayoralty to move the Roma families right next the city filtering station constitutes environmental racism. Thus, the harmful environmental conditions affect disproportional an ethnic group, respectively the Roma community. Romani CRISS will continue to monitor this case because there are numerous cases in Romania where the local authorities build houses at the outskirts of the city for Roma. The plan for urban expansion should also take into account residential non-segregation on ethnic grounds. In many cases the living conditions are precarious and affect Roma health, as they are placed near pollution sources.

Romani CRISS contracted a lawyer and has filed a criminal complaint against the Vice mayor of the Miercurea Ciuc locality (art. 247 and 248 of the Criminal Code). Following the prosecutor's office decision for not beginning criminal investigation, Romani CRISS has filed a second complaint in front of the Miercurea Ciuc first instance court.

7. Case Piatra Neamt

On the 7th of August 2006, the Piatra Neamt municipality has evicted a number of Roma families for the 40 block in Piatra Neamt. The total population of the block is 91 families. Romani CRISS representatives have initiated a meeting with the representatives of the local authorities, also joined by representatives of the prefecture, representatives of the Roma from the 40 block and the local Roma NGO – Romii Romascani. The meeting has ended with no result, the Vice Mayor Vasile Ouatu reaffirming that there are no solutions, the Roma must leave the block, some of them must also leave the town since they don't have proper documents and that no alternative housing will be provided. The Roma had previously received summons to leave the block due to rehabilitation by ANL - National Agency for Housing program. No serious analysis of the legal situation of the persons in question has been conducted by the municipality.

Despite dialogue with the Councilor on Roma Issues of the Prime Minister's Office and informal promises that alternative housing will be provided, the representatives of the municipality, have said, in a meeting held the following day (08.08.2006), that alternative housing cannot be provide as the conditions are improper (the roof is damaged). The meeting has brought together representatives of the municipality, Prefecture, ANR – National Agency for Roma regional branch NE, Romani CRISS, Romii Romascani Association, Roma from the 40 block and mass-media. The municipality has also stated its intention to evict all inhabitants from the 40 block, about 91 families, by 14th of August 2006, for rehabilitation. Also, not all families will return in the rehabilitated block, they are to follow the standard procedure with no preemption rights. The issue is to be settled at the local council meeting on 10th of August 2006.

The Roma are determined to organize a march of protest.

CRISS is of opinion that, at the moment the municipality is facing two major problems. The first is that no alternative housing is being offered for the evicted persons and the forced eviction(s) render those persons homeless. Hence, a clear violation of the standards set up by General Comments no. 4 and 7 of the CESCR.

The second is its incoherent housing policies towards Roma. There is a trend to move Roma from the town to the outskirts. Also, Roma are constantly moved, from one block to another, according to temporary needs. Thus there is a clear need to add more coherency to the housing policies and to also keep in mind basic human rights standards, such as non-segregated neighborhoods or forced evictions.

The 2006 event is just one of a series of events in the history of the town. The Piatra Neamt initiatives in 2001 have determined other municipalities in Romania to act in the same manner, namely to bring Roma from the city to the outskirts, thus segregating them.

The City Hall Piatra Neamt decided to evict the inhabitants of apartment buildings 18 at Siret Street, D2 at Aleea Tiparului and 40 at Aleea Ulmilor. These apartment buildings are inhabited mostly by Roma and the living conditions are precarious (damp walls, damaged attic and windows without the wooden frames).

According to the Deputy Mayor of Piatra Neamt, Mr. Vasile Ouatu, *"for this purpose, we already bought and arranged 28 containers (like freight cars) where Roma have all the necessary living conditions, including drinkable water, toilets and a cafeteria, and the area will be guarded by*

community police officers in order to ensure order and to avoid Gypsies' migration to other localities."¹¹

*"The City Hall management registered the persons living in the three buildings considered nests of delinquents; the Roma have to pay the rent for the houses offered by the City hall, although most of them don't have an income"*¹²

On 11-12 September 2004, Romani CRISS¹³ went to Piatra Neamt and ascertained that, indeed, the City Hall intended to transfer the Roma population from neighborhoods Gara Veche (apartment building 18) and Darmanesti (apartment buildings D2 and 40) at the outskirts of the city, in Valeni area, near the garbage dump and the shooting grounds and, a part of them, to the social houses in Speranta neighborhood. In addition, the local authorities officially informed 12 Roma families going to be evicted in Speranta neighborhood (in the rehabilitated warehouses) about not paying the rent and electricity bills.

On September 9th, 2004, the human rights local monitor contracted by Romani CRISS for Neamt county¹⁴ went to Speranta neighborhood and talked to Roma people moved from apartment building 18 to warehouse F2 regarding the concrete aspects of the eviction.

On April 22nd, 2005, a delegation of Romani CRISS¹⁵ carried out a documenting visit in Piatra Neamt, visiting apartment building 18, D2, Speranta neighborhood and Valeni. As conclusion, the inhabitants of apartment building 18 had been moved in warehouse F2 in Speranta neighborhood and, some of the inhabitants of apartment building D2 had been moved to warehouse F3 and Valeni.

Based on the articles published in the local and central press from August 28th to September 17th 2004, Romani CRISS lodged a complaint on 01.10.2004 to the NCCD – National Council for Combating Discrimination, regarding the declared intentions of the City Hall Piatra Neamt to segregate the Roma and limiting their right to free movement.

According to Decision no.18 of 18.01.2005, the Director College of the NNCD rules that the presented facts do not constitute acts of discrimination, as per G.D. 137/2000, with subsequent modification and completion and decides to close the file. Romani CRISS appealed the decision also to the NCCD, as per administrative litigation law.

In what regards the action itself, one should bare in mind that some buildings (such as apartment building D2) have been rehabilitated by the local authorities and damaged subsequently by the inhabitants. Still, the solution chose by the City hall representatives, respectively to move all inhabitants of buildings D2, 40 and 18 to Speranta and Valeni neighborhood, at the margins of the city, is the easiest. The urban development of the city Piatra Neamt made by the local authority led

¹¹ Quote from article entitled "*Moved to the periphery*", posted on September 11th, 2005, on the Romanian Television website (www.tvr.ro), in News column.

¹² Idem.

¹³ The Romani CRISS delegation was formed of Marian Mandache – Program co-coordinator and Vasile Galbea – Program assistant. They have been accompanied by Liviu Daraban – human rights local monitor contracted by Romani CRISS and Aurelia Sotir – health mediator. The visit was conducted from 11 to 12 September 2004.

¹⁴ The human rights local monitor – Liviu Daraban was accompanied by the health mediator, Sotir Aurelia, and the health educator, Darandoi Aneta.

¹⁵ The delegation of Romani CRISS was formed of Marian Mandache – Program co-coordinator and Stanica Taba – Program assistant. They have been accompanied by Liviu Daraban – human rights local monitor contracted by Romani CRISS. The visit was conducted on April 22nd 2004.

to the forming of a new neighborhood inhabited mainly by Roma. This, in fact, constitutes a form of residential segregation and, on long term, affects the life of local community in a negative manner.

8. Salaj – Case Galgau

On 17.08.2004, the representatives of City Hall in Galgau locality, along with the Police and Gendarmerie, demolished abusively four houses built on private property. The four Roma families, 26 persons totally, out of which 18 children have been evicted and aggressed.¹⁶ Roma improvised some nylon tents near the railways. In 1997, based on a hand receipt, the Roma bought from L.L. – physical entity, a 2800 m² land on which they built four houses out of clay and girders.

From 2nd to 4th November 2004, a delegation of Romani CRISS carried out a visit in Salaj County. The City Hall representatives didn't claim the fact that the land was public property and admitted it was private property, also being aware that the Roma families were, in fact, the rightful owners of the land based on the hand receipt.

As result of the documenting, in Romani CRISS opinion, the Mayor's action was abusive and created a major prejudice to the families in question. In this regard, Romani CRISS contracted a lawyer to file a trial against the Mayor of Galgau locality.

9. Salaj – Case of hostels C3-C4 in Zalau

Mr. Robert Vaszi Janos (human rights local monitor contracted by Romani CRISS in Salaj County) informed Romani CRISS about a possible eviction of Roma from hostels C3-C4 in Zalau, action initiated by the local City Hall.

Thus, on September 10th, 2004, hostel I.A.I.F.O. in Zalau became for sale, by auction, and it was bought by S.C. Mediserv S.R.L., represented by Haller Otto. The new owner of the building, along with City Hall Zalau and the County Prefect's Office decided not to evict the inhabitants of this hostel until spring only if they paid their rent and other administrative costs.

On September 21st 2004, the Deputy Mayor Onorica Abrudan stated that some of the hostel's inhabitants would be moved to the building nearby, which had only 60 rooms, no electricity, water, sewerage or any other facility. On February 25th 2005, around 5 p.m., over 250 persons, inhabitants of hostel I.A.I.F.O., were evicted by representatives of City Hall Zalau, along with Gendarmes and public guards. The evicted persons moved to buildings B and C of hostel I.A.I.F.O. They preferred to move here and live in hard conditions than to end up in the street.

On March 4th, at 11 a.m., in the presence of Gendarmes, public guards and representatives of City Hall Zalau, the inhabitants of former hostel I.A.I.F.O. (buildings B and C) were evicted from here too. The evicted persons with domicile in Zalau were to be moved in Building B of Middle School "Vasile Goldis" in hostel C4. The ones not residing in Zalau shall be sent back to the localities mentioned in their identity cards.

The Deputy Mayor Onorica Abrudan declared that this situation was provisory, as the two buildings had no proper living conditions. But, in the new building that the evicted persons are supposed to be moved, they have running water, electricity, toilets, windows, etc.

¹⁶ The situation was reported by Mr. Robert Vaszi Janos – human rights local monitor contracted by Romani CRISS in Salaj County.

On May 17th 2005, the Deputy Mayor declared that the floating visa of inhabitants of hostel C4 expired and they had to leave the building they lived in at that moment. “The non-residents of Zalau have been warned and informed, more than once, that they had to evacuate those buildings, but no reaction. They thought they could stay here abusively, but we won’t give up regardless of the pressure. We have to maintain things in order and to respect the law”, declared Onorica Abrudan. He also wanted to mention that the non-residents of Zalau and the persons which had sold their apartment would not be provided with an apartment.

On June 6th 2005, Romani CRISS conducted a visit to Zalau. During the visit, the members of the delegation participated in a roundtable organized at the Prefect’s Office Salaj. The meeting was also attended by representatives of City Hall Zalau, of Prefect’s Office, of Roma and of majority population. As result of the meeting, it was decided to set up an evaluation commission formed of representatives of public authorities and of Roma, which would analyze the case of buildings C3 and C4.

After this roundtable, the local authorities systematically harassed the human rights local monitor, which was visited constantly by the Police. In addition, his wife received the same treatment. The actions of the public authorities are based on the complaint of Deputy Mayor, Onorica Abrudan, who accuses Robert Vaszi Janos of incitement to violence and threats.

We mention that Robert Vaszi informed the City Hall Zalau about the tensional situation of inhabitants of hostels C3 – C4 and that their safety (of the public authorities) might be at risk if they move the inhabitants without any protection measures, as the inhabitants are not very happy with the eviction.

Such information should have only draw the attention of the Deputy Mayor Zalau about the state of mind existent in the community and not to become the subject of intimidation and harassment actions against the human rights local monitor.

Romani CRISS contracted a lawyer in order to bring to court the cases regarding Roma’s eviction, the allegations of Deputy Mayor Onorica Abrudan and the pressure targeting Mr. Robert Vaszi Janos.

During a first meeting between Romani CRISS and the Zalau City Hall that has taken place on 18.01.2006, the Vice mayor Onorica Abrudan has considered as possible housing a former bird farm that is located at the outskirts of the town in some houses brought from Netherlands which needed rehabilitation.

CRISS has stated that that would actually be residential segregation for the Roma currently living in C4 block.

Another encountered problem has been that, following the rehabilitation of C4 block, the Mayoralty does not intend to offer priority to the families that already live there, offering the block for the general needs of social housing (about 350 families). At the end of this first meeting the Vice mayor has publicly declared that there will be no evictions until there will be ensured proper alternative housing.

On 01.03.2006, the Vice mayor has sent an official note to 14 families that live in C4 urging them to leave their homes in the same day, by 1 p.m. and move into unused thermic points. Following the lobby of Romani CRISS, that has been a second meeting on 02.03.2006, with the Mayoralty, no eviction took place.

Romani CRISS has protested against a possible eviction of the families living in the C4 block and moving them in unused thermic point, which are unacceptable for any type of housing.

10. Tulcea – Case Alunisului

Romani CRISS was informed¹⁷ that approximately 40 Roma families (200 persons) have been evicted from a building in Alunisului Street, Tulcea municipality. The Roma have been living illegally for approximately 7 years. The building is owned by a juridical entity from Galati, which offered it to the City Hall for free, but the City Hall refused.

On May 31st 2005, in the name of Roma going to be evicted from building in 5, Alunisului Street, it was filed an appeal at execution and suspension of forced execution, as well as a request of provisory suspension through a Presidential Ordinance. Following these appeals, the court of law ruled the postponing of the eviction until June 23rd, 2005.

Despite all these, on 20.05.2005, Roma from Alunisului Street were evicted. At the present, most of them live in the field, under the blue sky. The others live with their relatives or in Plugarilor Street, where they used to live before their buildings burned. In a meeting between the evicted Roma and the city mayor¹⁸, the Mayor declared he couldn't help the Roma because the non-Roma in Plugarilor Street didn't wish Roma to live there.

Thus, we consider the eviction illegal, as several trials regarding this case are before the court of law. A trial on challenging at execution, another on regarding the suspension of forced execution and another one on approval of the execution. To this end, Romani CRISS contracted a lawyer to continue the legal proceedings.

In addition, we mention that a person working as adviser within the City Hall Tulcea and who provided some details on the case to Romani CRISS was threatened and intimidated by representatives of the Court's executor.

¹⁷ The human rights local monitor contracted by Romani CRISS in Tulcea County, Mr. Dumitru Vasile.

¹⁸ The meeting took place on 20.05.2005, before the eviction; the human rights local monitor participated in the meeting too.